| Family Name | Hinton |
|--|---|
| Given Name | David |
| Person ID | 1286617 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Туре | Web |
| Family Name | Hinton |
| Given Name | David |
| Person ID | 1286617 |
| Title | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden |
| Туре | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | would lead to increased road traffic, in an area with limited public transport links and with no safe cycling options, consequently leading to local road congestion, and more pollution particularly CO2 emissions. The two local primary schools are both completely full and have no available room for building extensions to cope with increased admissions. Therefore, children in these new developments would have to be taken by car to other schools many miles distant in order to find any spaces, again increasing car journeys and corresponding pollution. There is also no GP services in the Bamford area and again future residents would need to travel to practices that I believe are already heavily oversubscribed. Lastly, building on this Green Field site would significantly change the character of Bamford itself with it"s access to open countryside and it"s heritage. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance | JPA 19 should be removed from the PfE. |